

Mark Berkowitz
TARTER KRINSKY & DROGIN LLP
1350 Broadway
New York, NY 10018
Tel: (212) 216-8000
Fax: (212) 216-8001
mberkowitz@tarterkrinsky.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ababoo LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

----- X		
ABABOO LLC,	:	Civil Action No. 23-cv-3025
	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	<u>COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL</u>
	:	<u>DEMAND</u>
	:	
FORTHRIGHT TRADERS LLC; and ABC & XYZ	:	
INC,	:	
	:	<i>Electronically Filed</i>
Defendants.	:	
----- X		

Plaintiff Ababoo LLC (“Plaintiff”), by and through its counsel, hereby brings this Complaint against defendants Forthright Traders LLC, Chana Perl Inc., and DMV LLC (individually and collectively, “Defendants”) and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THIS ACTION

1. This is an action for unfair competition, trademark infringement, and other relief arising under federal law, specifically 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.* (“Lanham Act”), and the state and common laws of the State of New Jersey. Defendants’ blatant misappropriation of Plaintiff’s WHOLESALEHOME trademark in connection with identical goods has caused, and will continue to cause, damage to Plaintiff’s goodwill associated with its WHOLESALEHOME trademark.

2. In an effort to usurp Plaintiff's business, Defendants have advertised and sold products under Plaintiff's Amazon listing, which features Plaintiff's WHOLESALEHOME trademark and branded products. In particular, Defendants have advertised products, including WHOLESALEHOME-branded products, for purchase to consumers. However, when fulfilling orders, Defendants do not deliver any WHOLESALEHOME-branded product as advertised. Thus, consumers are confused and misled, believing that they have purchased Plaintiff's product, yet do not receive the products shown in the corresponding listing.

3. Accordingly, through their unauthorized conduct, Defendants have created circumstances that are likely to cause, and have caused, consumer confusion, mistake, and deception to the detriment of consumers and the reputation and goodwill belonging to Plaintiff.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is a limited liability company existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with an address at 511 South Orange Avenue, Unit #525, Newark, New Jersey 07103.

5. On information and belief, Defendant Forthright Traders LLC dba Amazon Seller "Forthright Traders" (Merchant ID: A1I33KQCIWJPAV) is a limited liability company existing under the laws of the State of Florida with an address at 411 W 1st Street, Unit #216, Sanford, Florida 32771.

6. On information and belief, Defendant ABC & XYZ INC dba Amazon Seller ABC & XYZ INC (Merchant ID: A2TVROY9J9QFIU) is a corporation existing under the laws of the State of California with a principal place of business at 2810 Rio Linda Blvd, Sacramento, CA 95815.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*, including 15 U.S.C. § 1121; and

28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over any claims herein arising under the laws of the State of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because the claims are so related to Plaintiff's federal claim that they form part of the same case or controversy.

8. Furthermore, Defendants are subject to general and specific jurisdiction in this Court, *inter alia*, because they conduct systemic and continuous business within this District and have committed at least some of the acts complained of herein within this District.

9. On information and belief, Defendants solicit business from and conduct business with New Jersey residents by using the internet (through one or more fully interactive websites) to sell consumer retail goods to customers in New Jersey, by accepting payments from New Jersey residents, and by delivering infringing goods to residents of New Jersey.

10. Defendants have purposely directed their activities, including the illegal acts against Plaintiff described below, toward this District and this action arises from those activities.

11. Specifically, at all relevant times, Defendants were aware that Plaintiff resided in the District of New Jersey and that their illegal acts would cause harm to Plaintiff in New Jersey. Specifically, Plaintiff's Amazon storefront identifies Plaintiff's place of business in Newark, New Jersey.

12. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.

BACKGROUND FACTS

13. Plaintiff is a seller of various consumer retail goods and is an authorized retailer of various third-party brands.

14. In 2021, Plaintiff adopted the WHOLESALHOME trademark in connection with the consumer retail goods it sells.

15. The WHOLESALHOME trademark is now the subject mark of Application Serial No. 90/874,152 as applied to “cloth for wiping or dusting” (the “WHOLESALHOME Mark”).

16. A significant portion of Plaintiff’s business is derived from the sale of products on Amazon.com, and in particular, through its Amazon storefront, “Homegoods Shop”.

17. Since the formation of Plaintiff’s Amazon storefront, Plaintiff has served thousands of customers and has invested significant efforts into building a successful and reputable Amazon storefront. Indeed, “Homegoods Shop” holds a 96% positive rating in the last 12 months.

18. A small sample of Plaintiff’s recent reviews are shown below:

Homegoods Shop

Visit the Homegoods Shop storefront

★★★★★ | 96% positive in the last 12 months (4379 ratings)

Clorox Bleach Liquid Cleaner for ...

USD ~~54.99~~ (USD 0.45 / Fl Oz)

Qty: 1

Add to Cart

Feedback

★★★★★ 4.8 out of 5
4,379 ratings

12 months

5 star	89%
4 star	7%
3 star	1%
2 star	1%
1 star	3%

Learn more about how seller feedback works on Amazon

Share your thoughts with other customers

Leave seller feedback

FILTER BY

All stars

4379 total feedbacks in 12 months

★★★★★ "No comment "

By Doug Okuly on May 1, 2023.

★★★★★ "Very good lock and fast delivery "

By lpatrick on May 1, 2023.

★★★★★ "Wonderful product "

By Rosemary on May 1, 2023.

★★★★★ "Mega easy to install and operate. Where have these been all my life?!"

By Pat Raring on May 1, 2023.

★★★★★ "Very good,just what I needed! "

By Nancy Y. on May 1, 2023.

19. Through its Amazon storefront, Plaintiff advertises, uses, and displays the WHOLESALHOME Mark on its listings for various products, including bundles featuring products from third-party brands in combination with WHOLESALHOME-branded products.

20. For example, Plaintiff offers Clorox-branded bleach bundled with a cleaning cloth imprinted with the WHOLESALHOME Mark under the following listing on the “Homegoods Shop” Amazon storefront: “Clorox Bleach Liquid Cleaner for Laundry and Bathroom, HE Performance Bleach, 121 oz. Bottle 3 Pack, Wholesalehome Cleaning Cloth Included” (ASIN B0B17KDC3C) (“Plaintiff’s Bundle”).

21. As a result of Plaintiff’s continuous use of the WHOLESALHOME Mark and the commercial success of products offered under this mark, Plaintiff has achieved substantial goodwill in the WHOLESALHOME Mark. The public has come to know that consumer retail goods marketed under the WHOLESALHOME Mark are of the highest quality and exclusively associated with Plaintiff.

22. The WHOLESALHOME Mark has, therefore, become a valuable vehicle for the further development of Plaintiff’s goodwill. This goodwill and recognition constitutes one of Plaintiff’s most valuable assets. Accordingly, the integrity of the WHOLESALHOME Mark is extremely valuable to Plaintiff and is crucial to the continued vitality and growth of its business.

23. Defendants are competing providers of consumer retail goods on Amazon.com, operating under their associated storefronts.

24. Defendants advertise, offer for sale, and sell the same types of products as Plaintiff, and in many instances, identical products.

25. For example, like Plaintiff, Defendants advertise, market, offer for sale, and sell third-party Clorox-branded bleach (the “Accused Products”).

26. However, in an effort to trade upon Plaintiff's goodwill, Defendants have associated the Accused Product with Plaintiff's Amazon listing for Plaintiff's Bundle that includes Clorox-branded bleach in combination with a WHOLESALHOME cleaning cloth.

27. On information and belief, unlike the product bundle advertised, marketed, promoted, offered for sale and sold by Plaintiff, Defendants' Accused Product does not contain Plaintiff's WHOLESALHOME-branded cleaning cloth.

28. Consumers make purchasing decisions, in significant part, based upon the brands, advertising, trademarks, and images that appear in Plaintiff's Amazon listings. As such, consumers expect to receive the WHOLESALHOME-branded products actually displayed and itemized in the Amazon listing. However, consumers do not receive the product they intended to purchase.

29. Defendants' offer and promotion of the Accused Product under Plaintiff's Amazon listing and unauthorized use of the WHOLESALHOME Mark—thereby representing to customers that the products they are selling are the same as those advertised, marketed, promoted, offered for sale, and sold by Plaintiff—falsely designates the source of the goods in a manner that is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or deception among consumers as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of these goods, and improperly trades off the goodwill established by Plaintiff.

30. Consumers familiar with Plaintiff's goods are likely to assume, incorrectly, that Defendants' goods provided under the WHOLESALHOME Mark originated with Plaintiff or that there is an affiliation between the parties, or that Plaintiff has sponsored, endorsed, or approved Defendants' goods.

31. Defendants' actions have detrimentally impacted Plaintiff's sales on Amazon, harming the stability and overall health of the "Homegoods Shop" storefront—a significantly profitable portion of Plaintiff's business.

32. Thus, Defendants' offering of their goods in connection with the WHOLESALHOME Mark creates serious and irreparable injury to Plaintiff.

33. Accordingly, Defendants, by the acts complained of herein, have infringed and continue to infringe Plaintiff's WHOLESALHOME Mark, and otherwise improperly usurp the reputation and goodwill of Plaintiff to promote their competitive products, which are not connected with, authorized, approved, licensed, produced, or sponsored by Plaintiff.

34. The natural, probable and foreseeable results of Defendants' wrongful conduct have, and will continue, to deprive Plaintiff of the benefit of its right to use its WHOLESALHOME Mark and the associated goodwill.

35. The aforesaid acts of Defendants have caused, and unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, will continue to cause irreparable damage, loss, and injury to Plaintiff, for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

**COUNT I – FEDERAL UNFAIR COMPETITION
(15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))**

36. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all previous paragraphs.

37. Defendants have deliberately and willfully attempted to trade upon Plaintiff's goodwill in its WHOLESALHOME Mark and the reputation established by Plaintiff in connection with its products in order to confuse consumers as to the original and sponsorship of Defendants' goods.

38. Defendants have advertised their products under Plaintiff's WHOLESALEHOME Mark and Amazon listing, and ultimately delivered orders made under this listing with different and inferior products and/or without an advertised product.

39. Defendants' unlawful and improper use of the WHOLESALEHOME Mark on goods through identical channels of commerce is causing, and is likely to continue to cause, confusion, mistake or deception as to the source, origin or sponsorship of Defendants' goods, and to falsely mislead others into believing that Defendants' goods are affiliated or connected with, or are licensed, sponsored, authorized, approved, or sanctioned by Plaintiff.

40. The above-described acts of Defendants constitute trademark infringement of the WHOLESALEHOME Mark, unfair competition and false designation of origin in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

41. By reason of the above-described acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered damage to the goodwill associated with the WHOLESALEHOME Mark.

42. The above-described acts of Defendants have irreparably harmed, and if not enjoined, will continue to irreparably harm the general public, which has an interest in being free from confusion, mistake, and deception.

43. Moreover, Defendants' unauthorized and tortious conduct has deprived and will continue to deprive Plaintiff of the ability to control the consumer perception of its goods marketed under the WHOLESALEHOME Mark, placing the valuable reputation and goodwill of Plaintiff into the hands of Defendants, over whom Plaintiff has no control.

44. Plaintiff has been harmed and will continue to be harmed by Defendants' infringement of its common law trademark rights unless Defendants are enjoined from further use of the WHOLESALEHOME Mark.

45. By reason of Defendants' acts, Plaintiff's remedy at law is not adequate to compensate it for the injuries inflicted by Defendants. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116.

46. Because the above-described acts of Defendants were willful, Plaintiff is entitled to damages, and those damages should be trebled under 15 U.S.C. § 1117.

**COUNT II – NEW JERSEY UNFAIR COMPETITION
(N.J.S.A. § 56:4-1, et seq.)**

47. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all previous paragraphs.

48. Defendants are in direct competition with Plaintiff.

49. Defendants' willful, knowing, and unauthorized promotion, advertisement, sale and offering for sale of infringing goods falsely indicates that Defendants are connected with, sponsored, endorsed, authorized, approved by or affiliated with Plaintiff (which they are not), causes confusion, mistake or deception as to the source of the goods, and causes harm to Plaintiff's goodwill.

50. Such acts constitute deceptive trade practices and unfair competition in the conduct of trade and commerce in violation of state law, including New Jersey Statute § 56:4-1, et seq.

51. Defendants' actions have proximately caused Plaintiff damage, and Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages under the law.

52. Due to Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of actual damages that would afford Plaintiff adequate relief at law for Defendants' acts and continuing acts. Plaintiff's remedy at law is not adequate to compensate it for the injuries already inflicted and further threatened by Defendants. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief.

53. Defendants' conduct has been intentional and willful and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to damages, and those damages should be trebled under the law of the State of New Jersey.

**COUNT III – NEW JERSEY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT
(N.J.S.A. § 56:3–13.16)**

54. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all previous paragraphs.

55. The foregoing acts of Defendants violate New Jersey Statute § 56.3–13.16 because they constitute use of an identical imitation of Plaintiff's WHOLESALEHOME Mark in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and advertising of goods in a manner that is likely to cause confusion, mistake, and deception as to the source or origin of the goods.

56. Due to Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of actual damages that would afford Plaintiff adequate relief at law for Defendants' acts and continuing acts. Plaintiff's remedy at law is not adequate to compensate it for the injuries already inflicted and further threatened by Defendants. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief.

57. Defendants' conduct has been intentional and willful and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to damages.

**COUNT IV – NEW JERSEY COMMON LAW
TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR COMPETITION**

58. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all previous paragraphs.

59. This is a claim for unfair competition, arising under the common law of the State of New Jersey.

60. Defendants, by their acts alleged above, have willfully, knowingly, and intentionally engaged in acts constituting unfair competition and trademark infringement under the common law of the State of New Jersey.

61. Defendants' unlawful and improper use of the WHOLESALHOME Mark constitutes infringement of Plaintiff's rights in its common law WHOLESALHOME Mark and tends to falsely describe or represent that Defendants' goods are provided, sponsored, approved, or licensed by Plaintiff and are of the same quality as the goods of Plaintiff.

62. Due to Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of actual damages that would afford Plaintiff adequate relief at law for Defendants' acts and continuing acts. Plaintiff's remedy at law is not adequate to compensate it for the injuries already inflicted and further threatened by Defendants. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief.

63. Defendants' conduct has been intentional and willful and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- A. That the Court enter a judgment against Defendants that Defendants have:
 - i. Infringed the rights of Plaintiff in the WHOLESALHOME Mark in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a); and
 - ii. Engaged in trademark infringement and unfair competition in violation of N.J.S.A. § 56:4-1, et seq., N.J.S.A. § 56.3–13.16, and common law.
- B. That each of the above acts was willful;
- C. That the Court issue a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent injunction enjoining and restraining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and all other persons acting in concert with or in conspiracy with or affiliated with Defendants, from:

- i. Engaging in any infringing activity including advertising, promoting, marketing, franchising, selling, and offering for sale any goods or services in connection with the WHOLESALEHOME Mark or any similar mark;
- ii. Engaging in any activity that lessens the distinctiveness of the WHOLESALEHOME Mark;
- iii. Falsely designating the origin, sponsorship, or affiliation of the Defendant's goods in any manner;
- iv. Otherwise unfairly competing with Plaintiff; and
- v. Continuing to perform in any manner whatsoever of the acts complained of herein.

D. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendant's acts of trademark infringement and unfair competition and that those damages be trebled due to Defendants' willfulness in accordance with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1117.

E. That Plaintiff be awarded all of Defendants' gains, profits, and advantages derived by it from the unlawful activities alleged herein.

F. That Plaintiff be awarded exemplary or punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and make them an example to the community.

G. That Plaintiff be awarded all of its litigation expenses, including but not limited to its reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, New Jersey law, and any other applicable provisions of law, and other costs and fees incurred in this Action.

H. That the Court award such other and further relief as the Court shall find just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Ababoo LLC hereby requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: June 1, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

TARTER KRINSKY & DROGIN LLP

By: s/ Mark Berkowitz
Mark Berkowitz
1350 Broadway
New York, NY 10018
Tel.: (212) 216-8000
Fax: (212) 216-8001
E-mail: mberkowitz@tarterkrinsky.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ababoo LLC

LOCAL CIVIL RULE 11.2 CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 11.2, Plaintiff, through its attorneys, certifies that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action pending in any court, or of any pending arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Dated: June 1, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

TARTER KRINSKY & DROGIN LLP

By: s/Mark Berkowitz
Mark Berkowitz
1350 Broadway
New York, NY 10018
Tel.: (212) 216-8000
Fax: (212) 216-8001
E-mail: mberkowitz@tarterkrinsky.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ababoo LLC

LOCAL CIVIL RULE 201.1 CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 201.1, Plaintiff, through its attorneys, certifies that the above captioned matter is not subject to compulsory arbitration.

Dated: June 1, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

TARTER KRINSKY & DROGIN LLP

By: s/ Mark Berkowitz
Mark Berkowitz
1350 Broadway
New York, NY 10018
Tel.: (212) 216-8000
Fax: (212) 216-8001
E-mail: mberkowitz@tarterkrinsky.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ababoo LLC